IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDIATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.62 OF 2003

Suhas Gangaram Manjrekar .. Appellant.

Versus

Kalpana @ Urmila Suhas Manjrekar .. Respondent.

Mrs.Seema Sarnaik for the appellant.
Mr.S.R.Page for the respondent.

CORAM : S.B.MHASE &
D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 14, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard.

2. This appeal has been filed by the husband challenging the decree passed in Petition A No.467 of 1999 on 13.2.2003 by the Principal Judge, Family Court No.5, Pune. However, the parties have arrived at compromise and they have submitted the consent terms. The consent terms are taken on record and marked ‘X’ for identification. Both the learned counsel for the parties Mrs.Seema Sarnaik and Mr.S.R.Page are present before this court, equally the husband and wife are also present. Both of them have stated that they have understood the consent terms. It is further stated that the

articles as per list annexed to the consent terms have been received by the wife equally she has received a cheque of Rs.19,000/-. We find that the parties have arrived at the settlement voluntarily and without influence of anyone. We, therefore, accept the consent terms. The judgment and decree passed by Family Court No.5, Pune in Petition A No.467 of 1999 is hereby set aside and in its place the decree in terms of consent terms is substituted. The office is directed to draw a decree as per consent terms. The family court appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(S.B.MHASE, J.)**

:hcs/sbm/